PROPOSED SCHEDULING ORDER WORKSHEET

Case No.: 21-CV-01010 (SJF) (JMW) Date of Initial Conference: June 28, 2021 at 10:00 am

Plaintiff(s): Gavin Trepeta

Defendants(s): Poll Restaurant Group, Inc., et al.,

DEADLINES & COURT APPEARANCES

6	/30/21	_ :	Exchange of Rule 26(a)(1) disclosures
7	7/30/21	_:	Service of first interrogatories and document demands
	3/31/21	_:	Responses to first interrogatories and document demands
8	/31/21	_:	Motions to join new parties or amend the pleadings
TBD at	conferenc	e <u>:</u>	Status conference to be held (Date and Time)
2	/28/22	_:	Completion of depositions
	3/18/22 closures	_:	Identification of case-in-chief experts and service of Rule 26
	4/18/22	_:	Identification of rebuttal experts and service of Rule 26 disclosures
	3/31/22	_ :	Commencement of summary judgment motion practice. Depending
requesting a pre-motion conference			trict judge, the first action commencing the practice would be either option conference or initiating the exchange of Local Civil Rule 56.1 as are required to consult the individual rules of the district judge ractice

TBD at conference:

Pretrial conference in courtroom 1024 of the Central Islip courthouse.

If the trial judge requires one, a joint proposed pretrial order in compliance with that judge's requirements and signed by counsel for each party must be received by the undersigned 3 business days prior this conference (**Date and Time**)

ESI DISCOVERY

	ssed the existence of electronically stored information, discussed the etion of such information, as required by Rule 26? YES \underline{X} NO $\underline{\hspace{0.5cm}}$			
Have the parties en	tered into an ESI protocol stipulation? YESNO_X			
Alternatively, if no ESI protocol is necessary because of the limited amount of ESI in the case, please check here: \underline{X}				
CONFIDENTIAL	ITY			
Do the parties antic YESNO_X_	ipate the need for a confidentiality stipulation and order?			
MAGISTRATE CON	NSENT			
28 U.S.C § 636(c)? Y	t to all proceedings, including trial, before a magistrate judge pursuant to ES/NO (Answer no if any party declines to consent without indicating ined. Parties are free to withhold consent without adverse substantive			
	NO			
COUNSEL:				
For Plaintiff(s)	J. Migh			
For Defendant(s)	provide the second seco			